



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,187	11/17/2003	Andrea Finke-Anlauff	042933/269434	3366

826 7590 03/01/2007

ALSTON & BIRD LLP
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000
CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000

EXAMINER

SHRESTHA, KIRAN K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2109

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/715,187

Applicant(s)

FINKE-ANLAUFF ET AL.

Examiner

Kiran K. Shrestha

Art Unit

2109

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 November 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :04/'04, 08/'04, 08/'05 and 09/'06.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the original filing of November 17, 2003. Claims 1, 20, 22, 26, 32 and 37 are independent claims. Claims 1-40 are pending and have been considered below.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 04/09/2004, 08/16/2004, 08/18/2005 and 09/29/2006 was filed after the mailing date of the application on 11/17/2003. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the examiner notes the use of acronyms (e.g. DVD, ROM, EEPROM, Java, etc.) throughout the specification without first including a description in plain text, as required.

Appropriate correction is required.
4. Specification is objected to because related co-pending application, given in the specification needs to be updated by including the U.S. Patent Application Serial numbers (10/715,162, 10/792175, and 10/715095).

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims of instant application (10/715187) 1, 4, 37 and 39 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1, 11 and 13 of copending Application No. 10/715,162. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for the reason set forth hereinbelow.

Claims of instant application 10/715187 are essentially the same as claims of copending application 10/715,162 except that the instant application recites "views of calendar and media views" but the co-pending application recites "views of media view and the time bar."

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in art at the time of the invention was made to provide the display of the calendar and media views.

Claims of instant application (10/792175) 1, 21, 24, and 35 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1, 5, 7, 11, and 13 of copending Application No. 10/715,162. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for the reason set forth hereinbelow.

Claims of instant application 10/792,175 are essentially the same as claims of copending application 10/715,162 except that the instant application recites "timeline view that is presented in combination with media view and provides access to media files." The copending application has "time bar that divides time segments having a size."

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in art at the time of the invention was made to display timeline view that combine with media view and media file.

Claims of instant application (10/715095) 1, and 22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1, and 11 of copending Application No. 10/715,162. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for the reason set forth hereinbelow.

Art Unit: 2109

Claims of instant application 10/715095 are essentially the same as claims of copending application 10/715,162 except that the instant application recites "browse of media view and a media handle" but the co-pending application recites "views of media view and the time bar."

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in art at the time of the invention was made to browse of media view and media handle with ability to view over several period of time.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an application for providing access to media file does not reasonably provide enablement for a computer readable medium providing access.

The application in this claim consists of a single structural limitation: "computer readable storage medium", and thus is interpreted as a single means/single step claim under MPEP 2164.08(a).

"A single means claim, i.e., where a means recitation does not appear in combination with another recited element of means, is subject to an undue breadth rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. In re Hyatt, 708 F.2d 712, 714-715, 218 USPQ 195, 197 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (A single means claim which covered every conceivable means for achieving the stated purpose was held nonenabling for the scope of the claim because the specification disclosed at most only those means known to the inventor.). When claims depend on a recited property, a fact situation comparable to Hyatt is possible, where the claim covers every conceivable structure (means) for achieving the stated property (result) while the specification discloses at most only those known to the inventor."

Claim Objections

8. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: it claims itself as a dependent claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rothmuller et al. (WO 02/057959 A2) issued January 16, 2002.

Claim 1: Rothmuller discloses an application for providing access to media files on a digital device, the application comprising a computer readable storage medium having computer-readable program instructions embodied in the medium, the computer-readable program instructions comprising:

first instructions for generating a calendar view that represents time in calendar format and associates events with respective periods of time (page 3, lines 11-15); and

second instructions for generating a media view that provides access to digital media files and associates digital media files with a period of time (page 7, lines 16-19).

Art Unit: 2109

Claim 2: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 1, wherein the second instructions for generating the media view associate digital media files with event information (page 2, lines 13-19).

Claim 3: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 1, wherein the first and second instructions operate concurrently to generate a timeline view that combines the calendar view and the media view (page 6, lines 9-23).

Claim 4: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 3, wherein the first and second instructions operate concurrently to generate, in the timeline view, a timeline associated with the media view (page 6, lines 9-15).

Claim 5: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 3, wherein the first and second instructions operate concurrently to generate, in the timeline view, a timeline associated with the calendar view and the media view (page 6, lines 9-23).

Claim 6: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 1, wherein the first and second instructions operate concurrently to correlate metadata information of the media file and calendar events (page 6, lines 9-23).

Claim 7: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 6, wherein the first and second instructions operate concurrently to combine metadata information of the media file and a relevant calendar event (page 6, lines 9-23).

Claim 8: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 7, wherein the first and second instructions operate concurrently to store the combined metadata information into the metadata information of the media file (page 1, lines 25-30).

Claim 9: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 8, wherein the first and second instructions operate concurrently to display, in the media view, an item of information in the metadata as a title for a group of media files having the same metadata as used in the title (page 1, lines 25-30).

Claim 10: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 10, further comprising third instructions for searching the calendar view and the media view in terms of time period (page 1, lines 25-30).

Claim 11: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 10, further comprising third instructions for searching the calendar view and the media view in terms of any combination of metadata information (page 1, lines 25-30).

Claim 12: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 1, wherein the first instructions also generate an indicator for the current time (Fig. 2, shows time at the upper right hand side).

Claim 13: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 1, wherein the first instructions also generate a delineation between past time and future time (page 5, lines 18-25).

Claim 14: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 1, wherein the second instructions associate digital media files with a period of time based upon information associated with the digital media file (page 7, lines 27-31).

Claim 15: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 1, wherein the second instructions provide a user a presentation mode to access the digital media files (page 7, lines 27-31).

Claim 16: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 1, wherein the second instructions generate a media view that associates digital media files with a past period of time (page 4, lines 16-23).

Claim 17: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 1, wherein the first instructions generate a calendar view that associates events with respective future periods of time (page 3, lines 11-15).

Claim 18: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 1, wherein the second instructions for generating a media view that provides access to the media files within a period in time further generates instruction that adjusts a size of a period of time view according to the amount of the media files in the period of time (page 7, lines 16-25).

Claim 19: Rothmuller discloses the application of claim 18, wherein the second instructions adjust the size of the period of time view so that all the media files within a period of time are visible (Fig. 1; page 8, lines 4-8).

Claim 20: Rothmuller discloses a method for digital media management in a digital device, the method comprising the steps of:
receiving, in a media diary application, a digital media file having metadata associated with the digital media file (page 11, lines 21-31); and
providing a user access to the digital media file via a media view that displays a representation of the digital media file in connection with a time element of the metadata (page 2, lines 20-25).

Claim 21: Rothmuller discloses the method of claim 20, further comprising the step of correlating the metadata in the digital media file with calendar event information prior to providing a user access to the media file via a media view (page 6, lines 9-23).

Art Unit: 2109

Claim 22: Rothmuller discloses a method for digital media management in a digital

device, the method comprising the steps of:

receiving a digital media file having metadata associated with the digital media file

(page 11, lines 21-31);

transmitting the file, automatically, to a media diary application that associates the digital media file with a period in time based on the metadata (page 1, lines 25-30 and page 2, lines 1-11);

providing a user access to the digital media file via a media view that displays a representation of the digital media item in connection with the period of time (Fig. 1).

Claim 23: Rothmuller discloses the method of claim 22, further comprising the step of providing the user access to the digital media file via a timeline view that combines a timeline with the media view and a calendar view of calendared events (page 8, lines 14-26).

Claim 24: Rothmuller discloses the method of claim 23, wherein the step of providing the user access to the digital media file via a timeline view that combines a timeline with the media view and a calendar view of calendared events further comprises providing the user access to the digital media file via a timeline view that combines a timeline with the media view of media files associated with past periods of time and a calendar view of calendared events associated with future periods of time (page 7, lines 27-31 and page 8, lines 1-26).

Claim 25: Rothmuller discloses the method of claim 23, wherein the step of providing the user access to the digital media file via a timeline view that combines a timeline with the media view and a calendar view of calendared events further comprises providing the user access to the digital media file via a timeline view that combines a scrollable timeline with the media view and a calendar view of calendared events (Fig. 1; page 4, lines 16-30).

Claim 26: Rothmuller discloses a method for digital media management in a digital device, the method comprising the steps of:
storing information related to a calendar event in an event file (page 2, lines 13-19);
receiving a digital media file associated with the calendar event (page 5, lines 18-23);
correlating the digital media file with the information in the event file (page 2, lines 13-19); and
creating an accessible representation of the digital media file and at least a portion of the correlated information in the event file (page 2, lines 20-25).

Claim 27: Rothmuller discloses the method of claim 26, wherein the step of storing information related to a calendar event in an event file further comprises storing information related to a calendar event in an event file associated with a calendar planner of a media diary (page 2, lines 13-19).

Claim 28: Rothmuller discloses the method of claim 26, wherein the step of creating an accessible representation of the digital media file and at least a portion of the correlated information in the event file further comprises creating, in a media view of the media diary, an accessible representation of the digital media file and at least a portion of the correlated information in the event file (page 4, lines 27-30 and page 5, lines 1-4).

Claim 29: Rothmuller discloses the method of claim 26, wherein the step of storing information related to a calendar event in an event file further comprises storing date and event title information related to a calendar event in an event file (page 5, lines 10-19).

Claim 30: Rothmuller discloses the method of claim 26, wherein the step of correlating the digital media file with the information in the event file further comprises correlating metadata in the digital media file with date information in the event file (page 5, lines 10-19).

Claim 31: Rothmuller discloses the method of claim 26, wherein the step of correlating the digital media file with the information in the event file further comprises correlating metadata in the digital media file with metadata information in the event file (page 5, lines 10-21).

Art Unit: 2109

Claim 32: Rothmuller discloses a method for defining media file representation in a media view of a media diary application, the method comprising the steps of:
receiving a media file having associated metadata information (page 5, lines 18-23);
correlating the metadata information with calendar event information (page 2, lines 13-19);
determining a manner in which the media file will be represented in a media view of the media diary (page 11, lines 28-31);
presenting the media file as a media file representation in the media view in accordance with the correlation procedure and the determination of the manner of representation (page 11, lines 21-31).

Claim 33: Rothmuller discloses the method of claim 32, wherein the step of determining the manner in which the media file will be represented in a media view of the media diary further comprises determining the size of a thumbnail representing the media file (page 8, lines 4-8).

Claim 34: Rothmuller discloses the method of claim 32, wherein the step of determining the manner in which the media file will be represented in a media view of the media diary further comprises determining the size of the date column that the media file representation will reside in (Fig. 1).

Claim 35: Rothmuller discloses the method of claim 32, wherein the step of determining the manner in which the media file will be represented in a media view of the media diary further comprises determining the size of the media view in proportion to the overall viewing area (Fig. 1).

Claim 36: Rothmuller discloses the method of claim 32, wherein the step of determining the manner in which the media file will be represented in a media view of the media diary further comprises determining a quantity of the media files represented in a date column (page 8, lines 28-31 and page 9, lines 1-14).

Claim 37: Rothmuller discloses a digital device, the device comprising: a processing unit that executes computer-readable program instructions for accessing media files, the computer-readable program instructions comprising:
first instructions for generating a calendar view that represents time in calendar format and associates events with respective periods of time (page 3, lines 11-15), and
second instructions for generating a media view that provides access to digital media files and associates digital media files with a period of time (page 7, lines 16-19);
a display in communication with the processing unit that presents, independently, the calendar view and the media view (page 9, lines 15-20).

Claim 38: Rothmuller discloses the digital device of claim 37, wherein the first and second instructions operate concurrently to generate a timeline view that combines the calendar view and the media view (Fig. 4; page 9, lines 15-20).

Claim 39: Rothmuller discloses the digital device of claim 37, wherein the display presents the timeline view with a timeline associated with the calendar view and the media view (page 9, lines 15-20).

Claim 40: Rothmuller discloses the digital device of claim 37, wherein the processing unit that executes computer-readable program instructions for accessing media files, the computer-readable program instructions comprising further comprises a third instructions for searching the calendar view and the media view in terms of time period (page 9, lines 27-32).

Art Unit: 2109

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Exr. Kiran K. Shrestha whose telephone number is (571) 270-1691. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James W. Myhre, can be reached on (571) 270-1065. The fax phone number for Formal or Official faxes to Technology Center 2100 is (571) 273-3800. Draft or Informal faxes, which will not be entered in the application, may be submitted directly to the examiner at (571) 273-6722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3600.

KS-
KKS

February 13, 2007



James W. Myhre

Supervisory Primary Examiner